# **Reverse Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization of MMA Initiated by Triphenylmethane**

Yuanqing Xu, Qingfeng Xu, Jianmei Lu (∞), Xuewei Xia, Lihua Wang

Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Suzhou University, Suzhou, 215123, China E-mail: lujm@suda.edu.cn; Fax: +8651265882875

Received: 24 August 2006 / Revised version: 5 December 2006 / Accepted: 7 December 2006 Published online: 21 December 2006 – © Springer-Verlag 2006

# Summary

Triphenylmethane (TPM) was successfully employed for the reverse atom transfer radical polymerization (RATRP) of methyl methacrylate (MMA) in the presence of CuCl<sub>2</sub>/ pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA). The conventional free radical polymerization (CFRP) of MMA was firstly carried out in the presence of TPM, proving that TPM can initiate the polymerization. The RARTP of MMA in cyclohexanone (CHO) exhibited the living/controlled characteristics: the first-order kinetics with respect to monomer, linear increase of number-average molecular weight ( $M_n$ ) with conversion, narrow polydispersity index (PDI) (<1.4) and successful chain extension. The possible mechanism was also proposed.

## Introduction

As one of the most successful "living"/ controlled polymerizations, atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) has drawn more and more attentions since its first applications in 1995<sup>[1-3]</sup>. ATRP enables formation of well-defined polymers with controlled topology, composition, and functionality <sup>[4,5]</sup>. A conventional ATRP system is composed of the monomer, an initiator with a transferable (pseudo)halogen, and a catalyst (composed of a transition metal species with any suitable ligand). However, it has two major problems: the toxicity of the halide species RX and the oxidation of the catalyst Mt<sup>n</sup>/LX by the oxygen in air<sup>[6]</sup>.

To overcome the disadvantages of conventional ATRP, Matyjaszewski <sup>[7-8]</sup> and Teyssie <sup>[10]</sup> developed reverse ATRP (RATRP). RATRP differs from normal ATRP in its initiation process, where a conventional radical initiator and a metal salt at higher oxidation state are used. The most popular initiators of RATRP involves azo- and peroxide compounds, such as BPO and AIBN <sup>[8,9]</sup>. Many iniferters, mostly the hexasubstituted ethanes, having an irreversible decomposition step were also reported, such as TD <sup>[11]</sup>, DCDPS <sup>[12]</sup>, DMPA <sup>[13]</sup>, DCDTS <sup>[14]</sup>.

Known as an "amphihydric" compound, triphenylmethane (TPM) can undergo either heterolytic cleavage to give a triarylcation and the corresponding anion or homolytic cleavage to give radicals <sup>[15-17]</sup>: a stable trityl radical (Tr·) and an active radical  $H^{\cdot [26]}$ . The bond dissociation energy (BDE) value of TPM is as low as 81kcal/mol <sup>[18]</sup>. In our previous work <sup>[19]</sup>, we have used triphenylmethyl chloride as the initiator of normal

ATRP, which showed that Tr is able to initiate the polymerization. Herein, we firstly utilized TPM as the initiator for conventional free radical polymerization (CFRP) of MMA. Subsequently, the RATRP of MMA was conducted in cyclohexanone (CHO) using TPM as the initiator. As a result, the RATRP exhibited the typical characteristics of living/controlled polymerization. Although low initiation efficiency was observed, this new type, commercially cheap and available compound provided an alternative of RATRP initiator.

# Experimental

## Materials

Methyl methacrylate (MMA) (CP,Shanghai Chemical reagent Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China), N,N,N',N'',N''-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA) (98%, Jiangsu Chemical Factory, Liyang, China), CHO (Shanghai Chemical reagent Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China), were purified by vacuum distillation. CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O and Triphenylmethane (TPM) (C.P, Shanghai Zhenxin Chemical Reagent Factory, Shanghai, China) were used as received.

#### General polymerization procedure

A typical polymerization procedure is described as follows:

100mmol MMA, 10g CHO, 1mmol CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 1mmol TPM and 1mmol PMDETA was added to a round-bottomed flask. The reaction flask was subjected to several vacuum/nitrogen cycles, and was immersed in an oil bath heated at 80°C. Samples for kinetic measurements were taken after a specific time interval from the reaction mixture.

PMMA was isolated by precipitation with methanol. The precipitated polymer was solved with THF, precipitated for the second time, and then dried until its weight was constant.

# **Characterizations**

Monomer conversion was determined by weight method. Molecular weight  $(M_n, M_w)$  and PDI were measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) with the calibration of the commercially available poly(methyl methacrylate) standards and THF as solvent. <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra were taken in an INOVA 400 MHz NMR instrument with deuterated chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) as solvent at ambient temperature.

## **Results and Discussion**

#### Conventional Free Radical polymerization

As we mentioned above, the dissociation of TPM results in two radicals. To investigate whether these radicals can be utilized as the initiator, we firstly conducted the CFRP of MMA in CHO. Tab 1 summarizes the results at 70°C and 90°C, respectively.

Tab 1.	Conventional	free radical	polymerization	of MMA	initiated by TPM
--------	--------------	--------------	----------------	--------	------------------

Temperature, °C	[TPM] <sub>0</sub> , mmol	Time, h	Conversion, %	M <sub>n</sub>	PDI
70	0	30	0	-	-
70	1	27.6	14.6	99700	2.7
70	1	29	16.3	102300	2.7
70	1	34.2	18.4	88600	2.9
90	1	2	6.19	87900	2.7
90	1	3.65	13.6	83100	2.6

Reaction conditions: [MMA]<sub>0</sub>=100mmol, W<sub>CHO</sub>=2g.

In Tab 1, MMA failed to polymerize at 70°C in the absence of TPM. By contrast, although the rate was very slow, the polymerization was induced by the addition of TPM at the same temperature. When increasing the temperature to 90°C, the rate was greatly enhanced, which may due to the accelerated dissociation of TPM. The  $M_n$  remained constant and the PDI were rather broad ( $M_w/M_n>2.6$ ) in both cases, which is corresponding to the characteristics of CFRP.

Therefore, it is concluded that TPM may be used as the initiator of CFRP of MMA. Our following work was then focused on the RATRP using this compound as the initiator.

#### RATRP of MMA initiated by TPM

#### Effect of solvent

The RATRP of MMA in the presence of TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA was firstly carried out in various solvent, including bulk, anisole, toluene, DMF, acetonitrile, 1,4-dioxane, acetophenone, acetone, and CHO etc. The reactions were all performed at 80°C. After stirred and heated for several minutes, the reaction mixtures in DMF, acetonitrile, acetophenone and CHO turned to be homogeneous, while in other solvents the mixtures remained heterogeneous. After 7h, the reactants were all poured into methanol to precipitate the polymers. However, only in CHO the PMMA can be obtained (conversion=90.5%,  $M_n$ =35300, PDI=1.4). This indicates that the polymerization may only occurred in CHO and is strongly solvent-dependent.

It has been suggested that suitable solvent may increase the solubility of the catalyst and also modify the concentrations of Cu(I) and Cu(II) in the polymerization system, in this way, the polymerization rate can be increased and the PDI can be reduced <sup>[20-23]</sup>. In this work, however, it is obvious that the solubility of catalyst should not be crucial, since the polymerization failed in DMF with higher polarity. Matyjaszewski K <sup>[24]</sup> et al reviewed the structure features of copper complexes with different ligand in ATRP. The structure of copper complex may be different in different solvent. The solvent is very likely to affect the redox properties of the copper complex and therefore its catalytic activity. In our work, it is very possible that the participation of CHO may activate the initiation and subsequently induce the polymerization.

#### *Effect of temperature*

The RATRP of MMA in the presence of TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA was performed at 70, 80 and 90°C respectively, and the results are summarized in Fig 1 and Fig 2. It should be mentioned that, the precipitation of the polymer in methanol can lead to underestimated conversion, overestimated experimental molecular weights and

artificially narrowed polydispersity. Nevertheless, since all the treatments were conducted under the similar conditions, the trend of the variation of conversion and  $M_n$  should not be affected.



Fig 1. Kinetic plot for RATRP of MMA initiated with TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA at different temperature:  $70^{\circ}C(\bullet)$ ,  $80^{\circ}C(\bigstar)$  and  $90^{\circ}C(\bigstar)$ . Other conditions:  $W_{MMA}:W_{CHO}=1:1$ , [MMA]<sub>0</sub>:[TPM]<sub>0</sub>:[CuCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>0</sub>:[PMDETA]<sub>0</sub>=250:1:1:1.



Fig 2. Dependences of  $M_n$  and  $M_w/M_n$  on conversion for RATRP of MMA initiated with TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA at different temperature: 70°C ( $\bullet$ ,  $\circ$ ), 80°C ( $\blacktriangle$ ,  $\bigtriangleup$ ) and 90°C ( $\bigstar$ ,  $\precsim$ ). Other conditions were given in caption for Fig 2. The straight line is the theoretical plot, where  $M_{n,th}$ =[MMA]<sub>0</sub>/(2[TPM]<sub>0</sub>)\*Conversion\*MW(MMA).

Fig 1 demonstrates the kinetic plots at different temperatures. The linear semilogarithmic plots of  $\ln([M]_0/[M])$  vs time indicate constant concentration of growing species in all cases. The rate of polymerization was accelerated with increasing temperature. Additionally, induction periods were observed but were shortened by the elevated temperature. Two reasons should be responsible for the induction period. Firstly, the dissociation of TPM was slow. Secondly, in the initial stage, CuCl<sub>2</sub> was in great excess compared to the radicals generated. As a result, the polymerization was retarded/inhibited during this induction period<sup>[8]</sup>. The increase of temperature can accelerate the generation of radical, and thus the induction period was shortened.

Fig 2 displays the number-average molecular weights and PDI vs conversion. In each case, the  $M_n$  increases linearly with conversion, which indicates a constant number of chains, and the PDI is rather narrow (<1.4). However, the  $M_n$  measured by GPC is much higher than the theoretical value. This may be caused by some side reactions, mostly due to the high activity of H· radical. TPM is thermally dissociated to generate Tr· and H·. Once generated, the latter is expected to add to the double bond of monomer to initiate the polymerization. However, most of them will be consumed by other side reactions, which should be the main reason of low initiation efficiency. As for Tr·, it is notably inactive, and relatively difficult to initiate the polymerization, which also cause the low initiation efficiency <sup>[19]</sup>. Moreover, at the same conversion, the  $M_n$  value increases with increasing temperature. That is, the higher the temperature, the lower the initiation efficiency. At higher temperature, dissociation of TPM is accelerated, resulting in a relatively high concentration of H·, and therefore the occurrence of radical coupling arises, resulting in a lower initiation efficiency.

#### Effect of monomer-to-initiator molar ratio

To investigate the effect of monomer-to-initiator molar ratio, three different concentrations of catalyst were performed in RATRP of MMA, when keeping other factors unchanged. The kinetic plot is present in Fig 3, and the dependences of  $M_n$  and  $M_w/M_n$  on monomer conversion are shown in Fig 4, respectively.



Fig 3. Kinetic plot for reverse ATRP of MMA initiated with TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA in different monomer-to-initiator molar ratio.  $[MMA]_0$ : $[TPM]_0=50:1(\bullet)$ ,  $100:1(\blacktriangle)$  and  $250:1(\bigstar)$ . Other conditions: at 80°C,  $[TPM]_0$ : $[CuCl_2]_0$ : $[PMDETA]_0=1:1:1$ ,  $W_{MMA}$ : $W_{CHO}=1:1$ .

Fig 3 illustrates the kinetic plots. In each case, the linear semilogarithmic plot of  $\ln([M]o/[M])$  vs time indicates constant concentration of growing specie. The rate of polymerization varies at different ratio, among which that at 100:1 is the fastest and the corresponding induction period is also the shortest. This is explained by the concentration of initiator or CuCl<sub>2</sub>. When the monomer-to-initiator molar ratio changed from 250:1 to 100:1, the concentration of initiator also increased, thus the rate of polymerization increased, followed by the shortened induction period. However, when the ratio was 50:1, corresponding to the excessively high concentration of inhibitor CuCl<sub>2</sub>, the rate of Polymerization is slowed down and exhibits long induction period.



Fig 4. Dependences of  $M_n$  and  $M_w/M_n$  on conversion for reverse ATRP of MMA initiated with TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA in different monomer-to-initiator molar ratio. [MMA]<sub>0</sub>:[TPM]<sub>0</sub>=50:1 ( $\bullet$ ,  $\circ$ ), 100:1( $\blacktriangle$ ,  $\triangle$ ) and 250:1( $\bigstar$ ,  $\doteqdot$ ). Other conditions were given in caption for Fig 4.

From Fig 4, it can be concluded that each of the polymerizations proceed in a controlled manner: linear increase of  $M_n$  with conversion and low PDI ( $M_w/M_n < 1.4$ ). With the increase of monomer concentration, the  $M_n$  value also increases at the same conversion. When the ratios are 50:1 and 100:1, the PDI are narrower, indicating that the polymerizations were better-controlled.

## Characterization of Polymer

The polymer prepared by reverse ATRP of MMA initiated with TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA was characterized by <sup>1</sup>HNMR as shown in Fig 5.



Fig 5. 400MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of PMMA ( $M_{n,GPC}$ =9600,  $M_w/M_n$ =1.3).

In Fig 5, the signals at 0.84-1.21, 1.43-2.07, and 3.41-3.60 ppm are assigned to the protons of methyl groups of  $-C(CH_3)(COOCH_3)$ , methylene groups of  $-CH_2$ -, and methoxy groups of  $-C(CH_3)(COOCH_3)$ , respectively. In particular, the signal at 3.78

ppm (H<sub>d</sub>) is for the protons of the methoxy group, that at 2.50 ppm (H<sub>e</sub>)is for the methylene protons, and that at 1.26 ppm (H<sub>f</sub>) exhibits the characteristic chemical shifts of the terminal MMA unit capped with an  $\omega$ -end chlorine. More importantly, the signals at about 7ppm (H<sub>g</sub>) are attributed to the protons of the phenyl rings. Thus, triphenylmethyl group is at the  $\alpha$ -end while chlorine atom is at the  $\omega$ -end of PMMA. The calculated M<sub>n</sub> by NMR is M<sub>n,NMR</sub>= 13000. Obviously, this value is much higher than that by GPC (M<sub>n,GPC</sub>=9600). The deviation can be explained by the partial existen ce of alpha-hydrogen-ended polymer. Moreover, the ratio of proton number at 7ppm and 1.26ppm is H<sub>g</sub>:H<sub>f</sub>=3.9:1, Assuming all the polymer chain ends were triphenylmethyl-capped and no Cl end groups were lost, the H<sub>g</sub>:H<sub>f</sub> ratio should be 5:1, and therefore the percentage of triphenylmethyl-ended polymer is 78%.

#### Chain Extension

To further confirm the living characteristic of the polymerization, we conducted a reaction of chain extension, using the polymer as macroinitiator to initiate the conventional ATRP of St in the presence of CuCl and 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy). Fig 6 shows the GPC curves of the macroinitiator and the final block copolymer Poly(MMA-b-St) obtained after 26.5% conversion of St.  $M_w/M_n$  of the final polymer (1.3) was a little broader than that of the macroinitiator (1.2), but the  $M_n$  value increased to 24,300 from 16,200. The success of the chain extension further proved the characteristics of living polymerization in this system of the reverse ATRP of MMA initiated with TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA.



Fig 6. GPC curves of the PMMA as macroinitiator and the block copolymer after precipitation in methanol. Reaction conditions: [St]<sub>0</sub>:[macroinitiator]<sub>0</sub>:[CuCl]<sub>0</sub>:[bpy]<sub>0</sub>=300:1:1:3, CHO:St(w/w)=1:1, at 110°C for 3 hours.

#### Plausible Mechanism

The possible mechanism for the RATRP of MMA initiated with TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/PMDETA is proposed in Scheme 1.

$$Ph \xrightarrow{Ph} Ph \xrightarrow{Ph} (Tr \cdot) + H \cdot (1)$$

$$Ph \xrightarrow{Ph} Ph$$

Initiated by Tr. :

$$Tr + CuCl_2/L \longrightarrow Tr-Cl + CuCl/L$$
  
(2)
  
MMA

Initiated by  $H \cdot$ :

 $H \cdot + CuCl_2/L \longrightarrow H-Cl + CuCl/L$  (3)

$$H \cdot + = 4$$
 $COOCH_3 \quad -4$ 

$$M \cdot + CuCl_2/L \longrightarrow M-Cl + CuCl/L$$
 (5)  
 $(Kp)$   
MMA

Scheme 1. Plausible initiation mechanism of RATRP of MMA initiated with TPM/CuCl<sub>2</sub>/ PMDETA.

The C-H bond of TPM is easy to dissociate to generate two radicals,  $Tr \cdot and H \cdot (Eq 1 in Scheme 1)$ . This was proved by the addition of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazhyl (DPPH). When DPPH was added to the CHO solution of TPM at 80°C, the red color disappeared quickly.

These two radicals can either initiate the polymerization, but they should experience different processes. For Tr, it should undergo a normal RATRP mechanism (Eq 2). It is noteworthy that Tr may also act as an "iniferter", nevertheless, this should not affect the mechanism, which is similar to those reported by Qiu et al [11-14]. As for H, if it undergo this mechanism (Eq 3), the homolysis of HCl should be rather difficult. In addition, if HCl is constantly generated, it may destroy the complex of the basic ligand PMDETA. Therefore, this reaction should be insignificant. Consequentially, H should firstly add to the double bond of MMA, resulting in the monomer radical, M, which then build the equilibrium of active and dormant species (Eq 4 and 5). Nevertheless, as we mentioned above, owing to the high activity, H may undergo many side reactions, such as radical termination, transfer, disproportionation and so on.

#### Conclusions

The RATRP of MMA initiated by TPM in the presence of CuCl2/PMDETA was successfully conducted. The polymerization exhibited the characteristics of living/controlled polymerization, that is, first-order kinetics with respect to monomer, linear increase of  $M_n$  relative to conversion and low PDI. The omega-Cl can be utilized to prepare block copolymer. Effect of solvent demonstrates that the polymerization is absolutely solvent-dependent. Moreover, other factors such as

temperature and feed ratio were also discussed. Finally, the mechanism was proposed. The polymerization should be initiated by two different radical generated by thermal cleavage of TPM, however, the polymerization proceed in a controlled manner.

Acknowledgements. We gratefully thank the Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 20476066) and the Nature Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2005031) for financial supports.

# References

- [1] Wang JS, Matyjaszewski K (1995) J Am Chem Soc 117: 5614
- [2] Wang JS, Matyjaszewski K (1995) Macromolecules 28: 7901
- [3] Kato M, Kamigaito M, Sawamoto M, Higashimura T (1995) Macromolecules 28: 1721
- [4] Coessens V, Pintauer T, Matyjaszewski K (2001) Prog Polym Sci 26: 337
- [5] Hideharu M, Muller AHE (2003) Prog Polym Sci 28:1403
- [6] Moineau G, Dubois Ph, Jerome R, Senninger T, Teyssie Ph (1998) Macromolecules 31:545
- [7] Wang JS, Matyjaszewski K (1995) Macromolecules 28:7572
- [8] Xia JH, Matyjaszewski K (1997) Macromolecules 30:7692
- [9] Chen XP, Qiu KY (2000) Polym Int 49:1529
- [10] Moniteau G, Dubois Ph, Jerome R, Senninger T, Teyssie Ph (1998) Macromolecules 31:545
- [11] Chen XP, Qiu KY (2000) New J Chem 24:865
- [12] Qin DQ, Qin SH, Chen XP, Qiu KY (2000) Polymer 41:7347
- [13] Qin SH, Qin DQ, Qiu KY (2001) New J Chem 25:893
- [14] Qin DQ, Qin SH, Qiu KY (2000) Macromolecules 33: 6987
- [15] Lewis GN, Lipkin D, Nagel TT (1994) J Am Chem Soc 66:1579
- [16] Porter G, Strachan E (1958) Trans Faraday Soc 54:1595
- [17] Harris L, Kaminsky J, Simard RG (1935) J Am Chem Soc 57:1151
- [18] Bordwell FG, Cheng JP, Satish AV, Twyman CL (1992) J Org Chem 57:6542
- [19] Xu YQ, Lu JM, Xu QF, Wang LH (2005) Euro Polym J 41:2422
- [20] Matyjaszewski K, Shigemoto T, Frechet JMJ, Leduc M (1996) Macromolecules 29:4167
- [21] Kickelbick G, Matyjaszewski K (1999) Macromol Rapid Commun 20:341
- [22] Matyjaszewski K, Nakagawa Y, Jasieczek CB (1998) Macromolecules 31:1535
- [23] Fuente JL, Fernµndez-Sanz M, Fernµndez-García M, Madruga EL (2001) Macromol Chem Phys 202:2565
- [24] Pintauer T, Matyjaszewski K (2005) Coordin Chem Rev 249:1155
- [25] Otsu T, Yoshida M (1982) Makromol Chem Rapid Commun 3:227
- [26] Manring LE (1984) J Phys Chem 88:3516